

Journal

Office of Legislative Counsel

Monday - 21 April 1952

- - - - -

1. Discussed with Lawrence Meloy, Executive Secretary of the Loyalty Review Board, the question as to whether the replies to interrogatories in Loyalty Board cases should be sworn to, and the general question of perjury in Loyalty Board proceedings. While the LRB has issued no directive, it feels that it would be preferable if replies to charges and interrogatories were notarized and any testimony be taken under oath. This would facilitate any perjury prosecution by the Department of Justice. Witnesses before a Loyalty Board can be sworn by the Chairman of the Board under the statutory authority of the head of an agency to take testimony under oath in investigative proceedings, according to Mr. Melby.

2. In accordance with the instruction from DDCI, I have made corrections in the Committee copy of Mr. Dulles' testimony before the House Foreign Affairs Committee on March 5, 1952.

STATINTL 3. I informed Mr. Wilson of Sen. Knowland's office (and subsequently Mr. Gleason of Sen. Nixon's office) that [] had sent them a copy of his letter to Mr. Carey of 15 April, and that Mr. [] had subsequently telephoned Mr. Carey to ask him to ignore the letter. We indicated, however, that we are informing [] that while we are ignoring the letter, we trust that he is also informing its other recipients to ignore it likewise.

STATINTL